

1 JS-6  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 NIKIA S. WHITE, Case No. 2:23-cv-01010-RGK-AGR<sup>x</sup>

11 Plaintiff(s), ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR  
vs. LACK OF PROSECUTION

12

13 EXPERIAN INFORMATION  
SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,

14 Defendant(s).  
15

16 On May 16, 2023, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) why the case should  
17 not be dismissed for lack of prosecution [11]. A response to the OSC was ordered to be filed on  
18 or before May 23, 2023. On May 17, 2023, plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint [12]  
19 which does not extend the time to serve defendants who were also named in the original  
20 complaint. The last day to timely serve the defendant was on or about May 1, 2023. Plaintiff  
21 having failed to respond to the OSC, therefore, the Court orders the matter dismissed for lack of  
22 prosecution.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: May 24, 2023

  
25 R. GARY KLAUSNER  
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
27  
28